UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/451,097	11/30/1999	SHUICHI WATANABE	0033-0630P	8589	
	7590 03/03/200 ART KOLASCH BIRO	EXAMINER			
POBOX 747	CH 374 220400747	DIEP, NHON THANH			
FALLS CHURG	CH, VA 220400747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/03/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applica	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			,097	WATANABE, SHU	WATANABE, SHUICHI			
			ner	Art Unit				
		Nhon T	. Diep	2621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 12 December	r 2008					
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action is						
3)□		<i>'</i> —		ters prosecution as to the	e merits is			
<i>ا</i> ل	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 5-27 and 37 is/are pendi	ng in the application	n					
•	Claim(s) <u>1,5-27 and 37</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	□ Claim(s) 15-18 is/are allowed.							
· · _ ·	·							
′=	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	n requirement.					
	on Papers		•					
	-	- Evenines						
· -	The specification is objected to by the		 	Tabiaatad ta bu tha Evan				
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 November 1999</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
		-,	•	, ,	ED 4 404(-I)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/23/2008</u> .	PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 09/451,097 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

1. With regard to the applicants' argument that "APPARENT FAILURE TO FOLLOW THE MPEP". The examiner also notes that it is his duty to perform update search before allowance, and that the examiner never considers such a reversal as a challenge to make a new search to uncover other and better references.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding to claim 37, which is a method claim, and that the claim does not fall within one of the four statutory categories of inventions. Supreme Court precedent and recent Federal Circuit decisions indicate a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. While the instant claim(s) recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, it is considered that none of the steps in the claims positively recites the other statutory class (the thing or product) to which it is tied, for example, by identifying the apparatus that accomplishes the method steps, In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 09/451,097 Page 3

Art Unit: 2621

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claim1, 27 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wilcox et al.

Wilcox et al discloses an automatic video segmentation using hidden markov model comprising the same image retrieval information storing apparatus for storing frame feature values in association with a plurality of frames of image data, comprising: a calculating unit for calculating statistics of motion vector information related to said image data (col. 4, In. 7-23 and col. 6, In. 3-20); a frame feature value generating unit for generating a frame feature value which is numerical information representing quantity of a feature contained in a frame of said image data using the calculated statistics (figure 10, average of motion vectors and/or the variance of the motion vectors, col. 5, In. 26-35); and a frame feature value storing unit for storing said frame feature value in correlating form with the frame of said image data, the frame feature value storing unit being connected to said frame feature value generating unit (figure 10, el. S210-S220-S280, fig. 8, el.206, 208, 211) as specified in claims 1 and 37; and that the image apparatus further comprising: a coding information reading unit for reading motion vector information from said image data which is coded; and said frame feature

value generating unit generates said frame feature value based on said motion vector information (col. 6, ln. 2-22 and fig. 8, el. 211-212-206-205) as specified in claim 27.

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 15-18 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon T. Diep whose telephone number is 571-272-7328. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 09/451,097 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

ND

/Nhon T Diep/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621